

PUBLIC SAFETY

REPORT V61

7/28/10

PROGRAM TITLE:

PUBLIC SAFETY

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09 THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-09 FISCAL YEAR 2008-09 **NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-10 BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % BUDGETED **ACTUAL** + CHANGE % BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS** EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 2,978.70 2,603.70 375.00 13 2,966.70 2,696.70 270.00 9 2,966.70 2,834.70 132.00 EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 348,536 308,407 40,129 12 73,827 73,380 447 1 273,318 246,300 27,018 10 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 2,978.70 2,603.70 375.00 2,696.70 270.00 9 2,966.70 2,834.70 132.00 13 2,966.70 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 348,536 308,407 40,129 12 73,827 73,380 447 273,318 246,300 27,018 10

l de la companya de	FIS	CAL YEAR 200	08-09			FISCAL YEAR	2009-10	
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	PLANNED	ACTUAL +	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS	-		i					
NO. ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW ENFORCEMT OFFICERS	3240	1950 -	1290	40	3000	2000	- 1000	33
2. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY HRS 710-1020	0	0 +	0	0	0	. 0	+ 0	0
3. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETURNED TO PRISON	375	259 -	116	31	375	375	+ 0	0 1

PROGRAM TITLE: PUBLIC SAFETY

09

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The variance in the Public Safety Program is primarily due to employee turnover, recruitment difficulties and collective bargaining augmentation.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See lowest level programs for an explanation of variances.

SAFETY FROM CRIMINAL ACTIONS

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0901

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	0-800	9		THREE!	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-0	9	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS				İ									
POSITIONS	2,758.20	2,429.20	-	329.00	12	2,750.20	2,522.20	- 228.00	8	2,750.20	2,655.20	- 95.00	3
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	247,972	237,250	-	10,722	4	48,245	48,016	- 229	0	198,920	184,547	- 14,373	7
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,758.20 247,972	2,429.20 237,250	<u>.</u>	329.00 10,722	12 4	2,750.20 48,245	2,522.20 48,016	- 228.00 - 229	8 0	2,750.20 198,920	2,655.20 184,547	- 95.00 - 14,373	3 7
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2008-09			FISCAL YEAR	2009-10	
\sim				•		PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW EN 2. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY HRS 3. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETL	710-1020			î		3240 0 375	1950 0 259	- 1290 + 0 - 116	 40 0 31	3000 0 375	2000 2000 0 375	+ 0	33 0 0

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

0

0 |

5 | +

PROGRAM TITLE:

CONFINEMENT AND REINTEGRATION

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090101

7. % OF INMATES WHO TEST POSITIVE ON URINALYSIS TEST

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-0	9	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10	-	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	:	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,103.10 202,626	1,856.10 200,201	- 247.00 - 2,425	12 1	2,098.10 38,922	1,924.10 39,033	- 174.00 + 111	8	2,098.10 163,116	2,022.10 151,888	- 76.00 - 11,228		4
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,103.10 202,626	1,856.10 200,201	- 247.00 - 2,425		2,098.10 38,922	1,924.10 39,033	- 174.00 + 111	8 0	2,098.10 163,116	2,022.10 151,888	- 76.00 - 11,228		4
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2008-09	-	<u> </u>	FISCAL YEAR	2009-10	:	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE		%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY HRS	710-1020			;	0	0	 + 0	0	 0	 0	+ 0		0
PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING A					85	39	•	•	85	15	- 70		82
3. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING VI					85	43	•	•	85	1	- 84		99
 % OF INMATES COMPLETING COUNSEL % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTNI 					13	11 8	- 2 + 3		13	/ 6	- b	 	46 20
6. % INMATES W/SANC FOR MISCNDT IN H					1 20	NO DATA	- 20	1 100	1 20	NO DATA	- 20	l 	100

5

3 | -

2

40

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PROGRAM TITLE:

HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010102

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09			THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED	09-30-09		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> 0	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> c	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)						·	,								
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	401.00 21,966	371.00 22,980		30.00 1,014	7 5	398.00 5,462	380.00 5,457	 - -	18.00 5	5 0	398.00 18,127	398.00 16,489	+	0.00 1,638	: 0 : 9
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	401.00 21,966	371.00 22,980		30.00 1,014	7 5	398.00 5,462	380.00 5,457		18.00 5	5 0	398.00 18,127	398.00 16,489	+	0.00 1,638	0 9
						FIS	CAL YEAR 2	2008-	-09			FISCAL YEAR	2009	-10	:
				. 1		PLANNED.	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> Cl	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	_ <u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANG	HRS 710-1021	sc			 	0 0 62	 0 0 79	 + +	0 0 17	0 0 27	0 0 62	0 0 70	+ + +	0 0 0 8	0 0 13
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					[1124	1308	 +	184 j	16	1124	1124	+	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED					[1106 887	1100 882		6 5	1 1 1	1119 899	11 19 899	++	0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 02 PSD 402

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

FY 2010:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

3. The variance is due to an increase in the population because of inmates returning from other in-State facilities due to misconducts or noncompliance with programmatic requirements.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

1. The variance is due to the planned number of inmates being based on the operating capacity for Halawa CF.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

No significant variance.

PROGRAM TITLE:

KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010103

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-	0-09	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10	
:	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHA	IGE '	6 BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)								~				
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	77.00 4,898	71.00 4,777	- 6.00 - 121	8 2	76.00 1,001	76.00 1,001	+ 0 +	.00 (0		0.00 1,337	- 76.00 - 2,843	100 68
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	77.00 4,898	71.00 4,777	- 6.00 - 121	8 2	76.00 1,001	76.00 1,001	+ 0 +	.00 (0.00 1,337	- 76.00 - 2,843	100 68
					JFIS	SCAL YEAR			1	FISCAL YEAR	2009-10	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHAN	GE S	6 PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANGE	HRS 710-1021				 0 0 6	0	 + +	0 0 0 0 6 100	0	NO DATA NO DATA NO DATA	+ 0	0 0 100
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					 160	121 ·	-	 39 24	160	NO DATA	- 160	100
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY					[
NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS					. 69	88	+	19 28	•		- 70	100
 NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H 	ICHED I EVEL				136	83 NO DATA	-	53 39 85 100	•	NO DATA NO DATA	- 138 ! - 85	100 100
NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO F NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBLE		JNIT				NO DATA		48 100		NO DATA	- 1248	100

PROGRAM TITLE: KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 03 PSD 403

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

No significant variance

FY 2010:

The position and expenditure variance is attributed to the closure of the facility.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

3. The variance is due to an overestimation in the number of inmates receiving sanctions for misconduct in the highest and greatest categories.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

1. The variance is due to the planned number of inmates being based on the operating capacity.

- 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of inmate admissions.
- 2. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of releases.
- 3. No data was readily available.
- 4. No data was readily available.

PROGRAM TITLE: WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010104

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-09		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	113.00 5,646	107.00 5,569	- 6.00 - 77	1	112.00 1,466	108.00 1,464	- 4.00 - 2	4	112.00 4,567	112.00 4,177	+ 0.00 - 390	0 9
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	113.00 5,646	107.00 5,569	- 6.00 - 77		112.00 1,466	108.00 1,464	- , 4.00 - 2	4 0	112.00 4,567	112.00 4,177	+ 0.00 - 390	0 9
						CAL YEAR	2008-09			FISCAL YEAR	2009-10	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAN	HRS 710-1021				 0 0	0 2 81	 + 0	0 0 0	 0 0	· · 0 0 0	+ 0	0 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					334	294	40	12	 334	334	+ 0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED 3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H 4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBINATIONS OF INMATES PARTICIPATING 6. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING	UTED IN COMMI IN FURLOUGH F	JNIT PR			322 310 38 6500 20	2530	+ 0 - 3970 + 2	24 14 0 61 10	 326 314 38 6500 20	314 38 2500 22	+ 0 + 0 + 0 - 4000 + 2 + 0	0 0 0 62 10

PROGRAM TITLE: WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 04 PSD 404

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

participating in the furlough programs.

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

FY 2010:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 2. There are two escape events in a formal charge of escape in the second degree.
- 3. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of inmates receiving sanctions for misconduct in the highest and greatest categories.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

1. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned number of inmates based on operating capacity.

- 1. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of inmate admissions.
- 2. The variance in the number of inmates released resulted from more inmates remaining at the facilities for a longer period of time to fulfill programming requirements.
- 4. The variance is due to a decrease of inmates available for the community services workline based on change in criteria of eligibility and the implementation of WCF's Reentry Program.
- 5. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of inmates

PROGRAM TITLE:

HAWA!I COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010105

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-0	9		THREE	MONTHS E	NDE	D 09-30-09)	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> 0	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>±</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	173.00 7,577	159.00 9,013		14.00 1,436	8 19	171.00 2,172	160.00 2,172	 - +	11.00 0	6 0	171.00 6,632	171.00 6,157	+ 0.00 - 475	0 7
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	173.00 7,577	159.00 9,013	-+	14.00 1,436	8 19	171.00 2,172	160.00 2,172	-+	11.00 0	6 0	171.00 6,632	171.00 6,157	+ 0.00 - 475	0 7
				•		[FIS	CAL YEAR	2008	8-09			FISCAL YEAR	2009-10	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> +</u> C	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANG	HRS 710-1021					 0 0 200	0 0 130	 + + -	0 0 70	0 0 35	0 0 200	0 0 130	+ 0 + 0 - 70	 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES						 250	432	 +	182	73	250	400	+ 150	 60
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED 3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H 4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBUTED IN THE SECONDARY OF INMATES PARTICIPATING INTERPRETARY OF INMATES PARTICIPATION OF	ITED IN COMMI N FURLOUGH		-			 2263 2284 7 4500 85 30	2266 1 6662	i - + +	4 18 6 2162 14 10	0 1 86 48 16 33	2290 2314 7 4500 85 30	2314	- 5 + 1500 + 15	0 0 71 33 1 18

PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 05 PSD 405

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation increased payroll cost associated with overcrowding.

FY 2010:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

3. The variance is due to an overestimated predominance of pretrial inmates. Pretrial inmates are more likely to generate misconducts inclusive of highest and greatest violators.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

1. The variance is due to the actual number of inmates continuing to exceed planned number of inmates based on operating capacity.

- 3. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number reclassified to a higher-level custody resulting in transfer.
- 4. The variance is due to an extension of community workline hours for the program.
- 5. The variance is due to an increase in the number of furlough eligible inmates being transferred to HCCC.
- 6. The variance is due to fewer inmates participating in the residential incommunity programs and services.

PROGRAM TITLE:

MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010106

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-0	9		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDE	D 09-30-09		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 0	6-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>±</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± Ci	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)		i					\$:			
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	187.00 9,200	145.00 9,233	1	42.00 33	22 0	187.00 2,193	155.00 2,181	 - -	32.00 12	17	187.00 7,800	187.00 7,375	+	0.00 425	0 5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	187.00 9,200	145.00 9,233	- +	42.00 33	22 0	187.00 2,193	155.00 2,181	-	32.00 12	17 1	187.00 7,800	187.00 7,375	+	0.00 425	0 5
							CAL YEAR	2008	3-09			FISCAL YEAR			
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> </u>	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH/	ANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAN	HRS 710-1021					 0 0 40	0 0 90	 + + +	0 0 50	0 0 125	0 0 40	0 0 90	+++++	0 0 50	0 0 125
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					÷	330	352	 +	22	7	330	330	+	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED 3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO H 4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIB 5. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING 6. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING	UTED IN FURLOUGH F					2096 2073 17 38000 150	1750 1755 0 35875 111	- - - -	346 318 17 2125 39 24	17 15 100 6 26 96	2121 2100 17 38000 150 25	1800 17	- + +	321 300 0 0 35 24	15 14 0 0 23 96

PROGRAM TITLE: MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 06 PSD 406

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

funding from the service providers.

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2010:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

3. The variance is due to an increase amongst the pretrial detainee population, as well as, a larger number of probation and parole violators that are reincarcerated.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

- 1. The variance in the number of new admissions resulted from an overestimation in the number of admissions for probation violators, sentenced felon probationers, and sentenced misdemeanants.
- 2. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of releases.
- 3. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number reclassified to a higher-level custody resulting in transfer.
- 5. The variance is due to an increased number of inmates being sent to the Federal Detention Center to serve their sentences resulting in a reduced number of inmates participating in furlough programs.
- 6. The variance in the number of inmates participating in residential incommunity programs and services decreased due to lack of available

PROGRAM TITLE:

OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010107

PSD-407

FISCAL YEAR 2008-09 **THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-09 NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-10 BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % BUDGETED **ACTUAL** + CHANGE BUDGETED ESTIMATED % + CHANGE PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS **RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS** EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS** 494.00 457.00 37.00 7 476.00 499.00 23.00 5 499.00 499.00 0.00 0 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 26,584 26,766 182 1 6,589 6,584 0 21,862 19,980 1,882 9 **TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS** 494.00 457.00 37.00 7 499.00 476.00 23.00 5 499.00 499.00 0.00 0 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 26,766 26,584 182 1 6.589 6,584 0 21,862 19.980 1.882 9 FISCAL YEAR 2008-09 FISCAL YEAR 2009-10 PLANNED ACTUAL | + CHANGE % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | + CHANGE % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY HRS 710-1020 0 0 | + 0 0 0 0 0 NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY HRS 710-1021 0 6 | + 0 0 6 | 0 0 + 0 4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANCTIONS 452 478 I + 26 6 452 452 + 0 0 PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES 1100 1359 | + 259 24 1100 1100 0 . 0 PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 7742 8347 | + 605 8 | 7835 7835 1 + 0 0 NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED 7912 8483 | + 571 7 | 8014 8000 14 0 3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO HIGHER LEVEL 10 60 54 6 60 60 1+ 0 0 4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBUTED IN COMMUNIT 123328 184523 1 -61195 33 184523 125000 59523 32 | -5. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING IN FURLOUGH PR 228 822 | + 594 261 228 500 | + 272 119

PROGRAM TITLE: OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 07 PSD 407

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

FY 2010:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

2. There were six escape events that resulted in a formal charge of escape in the second degree due to inmates not adhering to the rules and regulations pertaining to furlough.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

1. The variance is due to the planned number of inmates being based on the operating capacity for OCCC.

- 3. The variance is due to a decrease in major misconducts which warrant higher-level custody transfer. OCCC staff professionalism, monitoring, and behavior intervention were factors in the reduction.
- 4. The variance is due to a decrease in workline supervisors being available to over-see the worklines. The budgetary restraints caused post reassignment on a daily bases; therefore, less number of scheduled community services workline.
- 5. The variance is due to an increase of inmates participating on workline, job sites, and programs.

REPORT V61

7/28/10

KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-408

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010108

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS E	NDED 09-30-)9	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	68.00 3,439	60.00 3,618	- 8.00 + 179		68.00 832	61.00 832	- 7.00 + 0		68.00 2,875	68.00 2,697	+ 0.00 - 178	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	68.00 3,439	60.00 3,618		I .	68.00 832	61.00 832	- 7.00 + 0		68.00 2,875	68.00 2,697	+ 0.00 - 178	0 6
					jFIS	CAL YEAR	2008-09			FISCAL YEAR	2009-10	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SAN	HRS 710-1021				 0 0 10	0 1 10		į o	 0 0 10	0 0 0	+ 0 + 0 - 10	0 0 100
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES					 191	150	 - 41	21	 191	191	+ 0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED 3. NUMBER OF RECLASSIFICATIONS TO I- 4. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBING 5. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING 6. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING	UTED IN COMMU IN FURLOUGH				713 715 8000 120	880 910 19 2090 60 28	+ 195 + 11 - 5910 - 60	27 138 74 50	722 724 8 8000 120	1000 20 2000	+ 278 + 276 + 12 - 6000 - 20 - 10	39 38 150 75 17

PROGRAM TITLE: KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 08 PSD 408

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2010:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

2. There was one escape event that resulted in a formal charge of escape in the second degree. To deter future escapes, the yard fence was reinforced with additional razor wire.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

1. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned number of inmates based on operating capacity.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of inmate admissions.
- 2. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of releases.
- 3. The variance is due to an increase in security risks and dangerous inmates who are reclassified to higher-level custody resulting in transfers.
- 4. The variance is due to budget constraints. The Adult Correctional Officers assigned to the workline program are reassigned to fill essential security posts.
- 5. The variance is due to a small number of eligible inmates in the

Lifetime Stand program and the poor economic climate on Kauai, which results in stiffer competition and less working opportunities.

6. The variance is due to a small number of inmates eligible to participate in the in-community programs and services. The poor economic climate on Kauai was another factor in the variance decrease.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 7/28/10

PROGRAM TITLE:

WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-409

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010109

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-09)	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10	
DADT I. EVENINITIES & DOCUTIONS	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)		. "										
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	132.00 6,389	112.00 6,258		15 2	132.00 1,524	113.00 1,524	- 19.00 + 0	14 0	132.00 5,406	132.00 4,905	+ 0.00 - 501	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	132.00 6,389	112.00 6,258		15 2	132.00 1,524	113.00 1,524	- 19.00 + 0	14 0	132.00 5,406	132.00 4,905	+ 0.00 - 501	0 9
					FIS	CAL YEAR 2	2008-09			FISCAL YEAR	2009-10	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 3. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY 4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANGE	HRS 710-1021		ı	1	0 0 20	0 1 36	+ 1	0 0 80	0 0 20	0 0 30	+ 0 + 0 + 10	0 0 50
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES			-		260	296	+ 36	14	260	260	+ 0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF NEW ADMISSIONS 2. NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED					174 186	175 171	•	1	176 189	175 190	- 1 + 1	1
3. NUMBER OF INMATE-HOURS CONTRIBU 4. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING 5. NUMBER OF INMATES PARTICIPATING 6. NUMBER PARTICIPATING 6. NUMBER OF PARTICIPATING	N FURLOUGH				22000 100 100	16000	- 6000 - 60	27 60 64	22000 100 100	20000	- 2000 - 60	9 60 60

PROGRAM TITLE: WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 09 PSD 409

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2010:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 2. There was one escape event that resulted in a formal charge of escape in the second degree.
- 3. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of inmates receiving sanctions for misconduct in the highest and greatest categories.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the planned number of inmates based on operating capacity

- 4. The variance is due to an overestimation on the number of inmatehours contributed in community workline programs.
- 5. The variance is due to a decrease number of candidates applying for the furlough programs.
- 6. The variance is due to a decrease number of candidates applying for the in-community programs and services.

INTAKE SERVICE CENTERS

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-410 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010110

REPORT V61 7/28/10

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE !	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-3)-09	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHAN	GE %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	61.00 3,578	51.00 2,825		16 21	61.00 684	55.00 684	- 6. +	00 10	61.00 2,616	61.00 2,399	+ 0.00 - 217	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	61.00 3,578	51.00 2,825	- 10.00 - 753	16 21	61.00 684	55.00 684	- 6.1 +	00 10	61.00 2,616	61.00 2,399	+ 0.00 - 217	0 8
	-				FIS	CAL YEAR	2008-09			FISCAL YEAR	2009-10	
2. %PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES NOT CH 3. % COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN PI 4. % OF OFFENDERS THAT COMPLETE AL 5. NO. BED SPACE DAYS SAVED THRU ISC 6. % COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN PI PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	EXPENDITURES (\$1000's) 3,578 2,825 - 753 21 SURES OF EFFECTIVENESS ETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES APPEARG IN COURT AS SCHED ETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES NOT CHARGED W/NEW OFFENSE MMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN PROG CASES COMPLETED OFFENDERS THAT COMPLETE ALTERNATIVE SENTENCES ED SPACE DAYS SAVED THRU ISC PRGMS/INTERVENTN MMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN PROG HOURS COMPLETED					96 99 38 92 315421 12	+ + + - 3457	6 7 4 4 3 9 7 8 9 10 3 20	90 95 35 85 350000 15	95 35 85 350000 11	+ 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 - 4	% 0 0 0 0 0 27
	COMMUNITY S	TATUS			1114 503	899 517	- 21 + 1	5 19 4 3	1138 517	900 517	- 238 + 0	21
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION 2. NUMBER OF BAIL REPORTS COMPLETE 3. NUMBER OF INTAKE SCREENINGS CON 4. NO. OF PRETRIAL CASES PLACED ON IS 5. NO. SENTENCED OFFENDERS PLACED 6. NO. OFFENDERS PLACED ON COMMUN	FENDERS WITH COMMUNITY STATUS NVESTIGATIONS INITIATED RTS COMPLETED REENINGS CONDUCTED S PLACED ON ISC SUPERVISION IDERS PLACED ON ISC SUPERVISION					9644 9028 10978 13505 259	- 135 - 147 + 97 - 149	 6 12 2 14 8 10 5 10 9 4	 11000 10500 10000 15000 250 4000	10000 10000 10000 15000 250	- 1000 - 500 + 0 + 0 + 0	9 5 0 0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: INTAKE SERVICE CENTERS

09 01 01 10 PSD 410

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and delays in recruitment. The expenditure variance is due to delays in contract execution.

FY 2010:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 5. The variance is due to an increased number of plea bargains, which results in the shortening of time a case is adjudicated which reduces the number of bed days saved.
- 6. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percent of community service restitution program hours completed.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

1. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of admissions for pretrial felons.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 1. The variance in the number of pretrial investigations initiated is due to a decrease in the index crime rate for Hawaii.
- 2. The decreased number of bail reports that are completed resulted from the lower number of defendants who requested a bail report.
- 3. The variance in the number of intake screening conducted increased as the admission rate into the community correctional facilities increased. The admission rate is correlated to the arrest rate.
- 4. The decreased number of pretrial cases placed under Intake Service

Center (ISC) supervision is due to offenders, who are considered for supervised release, are poor candidates for pretrial diversion, and therefore the Courts are reluctant to grant a release.

6. The variance for community service restitution program (CSRP) cases reflects Judges' decisions to utilize the CSRP rather than imposing a fine. Furthermore, due to the poor economy, a significant number of offenders are unemployed and therefore lack the funds to pay a fine.

PROGRAM TITLE:

CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010111

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED 09-30-0)9	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGI	≡ %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	<u> </u>											
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	184.00 19,509	158.00 19,113	- 26.00 - 396	14 2	183.00 5,643	169.00 5,643	- 14.00 + 0	I	183.00 13,734	183.00 12,490	+ 0.00 - 1,244	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	184.00 19,509	158.00 19,113	- 26.00 - 396	14 2	183.00 5,643	169.00 5,643	- 14.00 + 0		183.00 13,734	183.00 12,490	+ 0.00 - 1,244	0 9
				*		CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		
DART III MEACURES OF FEFFOTIVENESS					PLANNED	ACTUAL.	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % INMATES COMPL COUNS/TRTMT PRO 2. % OF INMATES WHO TEST POSITIVE OF 3. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING AT 4. % OF INMATES COMPLETING PERSONA 5. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING V 6. % INMATES COMPLETING SUBSTANCE 7. % INMATES PARTICIPATING IN RELIG S 8. % MEALS SRVD MEET REQRMTS OF AN 9. # GRIEVANCES/LAWSUITS RELATED TO 10. % SENTINCD FELONS COMPLTD PROMS PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION	N URINALYSIS T CADEMIC PROG AL IMPROVEMEN OCATIONAL PRO ABUSE TRTMT VCS & ACTIVITION IER DIETETIC A D ACCESS TO CO S/RESENTND W/	ESTS BRAMS OF PROGS OF PROGRMS ES SSN OURTS			4113	47 43 84 75 100 12 NO DATA 4313	- 2 - 46 - 38 - 42 + 9 + 0 - 38 - 5	40 54 45 49 12 0 0 76 100	13 5 85 85 85 75 75 100 50 5	5 1 75 75 100	,	46 0 82 94 99 0 0 0 100
2. NUMBER OF NEW INMATE ADMISSIONS					13538	14962		•	13968	13968		0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. INMATES ADMITTED TO SEX OFF C. 2. NO. OF INMATES ADMITTED TO SUBSTA 3. NUMBER OF URINALYSIS TESTS ADMIN 4. NO. OF INMATES PARTICIPED IN LITERA 5. NO. INMATES PARTICIPATING IN VOCAT 6. NUMBER OF MEALS SERVED (PER DAY) 7. NO. OF INMATES PARTICIPATING IN LIB 8. # INMATES WHO ATTND RELIG SVCS/CC 9. NUMBER OF VOLUNTEER HOURS PROV	ANCE ABUSE PE ISTERED CY OR ACAD PE TONAL/OJT PRO RARY PROGRAI DUNS/STUDY CL	ROGRMS ROGS OGS MS LASSES			61 424 12408 2092 720 14000 30000 13200	1140 11554 28000		71 58 17 7	61 424 12408 2092 720 14000 30000 13200	12000 2092	- 10000	26 6 3 0 93 11 33

PROGRAM TITLE: CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES

09 01 01 11 PSD 420

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2010:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to problems with labor issues with education staff regarding work schedules that resulted in an interruption in treatment services and delays in the completion of programs by sex offenders.
- 2. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned percentage of inmates who test positive on urinalysis test.
- 3,4,5. The variance is due to the inmate's inability to access education courses with a degree of consistency. The factors include cancellation of classes due to lack of security staff, labor issues, CPS division being short-staffed, and an increased number of inmate transfers from one institution to another institution.
- 6. The variance is due to a major overhaul of the therapeutic community treatment program and increased training for staff which resulted in an increased percentage of inmates completing substance abuse treatment.
- 9. The variance is due to the implementation of a newly acquired electronic stand-alone touch screen system to improve inmate's access to selected state and federal laws, case studies, and court rules in all the libraries.
- 10. No data is available to track all sentenced felons who completed the programs and who are re-sentenced to prison within four years after release.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

2. The variance is due to an increase in the number of admissions for sentenced felons, pretrial felons, sentenced misdemeanants, pretrial misdemeanants, and probation violators.

- 1. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of inmates electing to receive sex offender counseling or the treatment programs as more inmates decided to serve their full term of imprisonment rather than requesting for parole release.
- 2. The variance is due to the substance abuse program becoming more efficient in identifying the completion criteria, which is based on tasks completed and demonstrated skills, rather than time driven completions. The completion criteria allows more inmates to complete their programs in a timely manner, which increase the number of inmates admitted to substance abuse programs.
- 4 & 5. The variance is due to CPS education branch's efforts to assess and enroll inmates in education programs soon after an inmate's admission to the correctional institutions, which increases inmate participation.
- 6. The variance is due to an increase in the number of inmates transferred to the Hawaii Federal Detention Center and out-of-state prisons reducing the number of daily meals served.

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE:

HEALTH CARE

PROGRAM-ID:

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED 09	9-30-09		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 0	6-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHAI	GE %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CH	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± Cł	ANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	201.10 20,066	154.10 19,139		.00 23 927 5	200.10 5.076	160.10 5,076	- 4	40.00	20	200.10 15,507	200.10 14,722	+	0.00 785	0
TOTAL COSTS	20,000	10,100		,2,	- 0,070	0,070	 			10,007	1.131 2020		100	
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	201.10 20,066	154.10 19,139		.00 23 927 5	200.10 5,076	160.10 5,076	- 4	40.00	20 0	200.10 15,507	200.10 14,722	+	0.00 785	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					FISCAL YEAR 2008-09 FISCAL YEAR 2009-10						10			
·					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHA	NGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH/	ANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING 2. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING	PSYCHIATRIC S	SVCS			100	100 100		0 0 0	0 0 0	100 100	100 100	+++	0 0	0
 PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING MOF OFFENDERS RECEIVING OUT-OF- 	CHRONIC CARE	SVCS			100 6	100 9 9	+ + +	0 3 3	0 50 50	100 6 6	100 9 9	+ + +	0 3 3	50 50
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION				-	4113	4313	 +	200	5	4113	4113	+	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF MEDICAL PROVIDER ENCO	DUNTERS				 13400	11212	 - :	2188	 16	13400	10315	-	3085	23

1.	AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION	4113	4313	+	200	5	4113	4113	+	0	0
PART	IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY			1	1	1		.,	-	1	
1.	NUMBER OF MEDICAL PROVIDER ENCOUNTERS	13400	11212	-	2188	16	13400	10315	-	3085	23
2.	NUMBER OF PSYCHIATRIC ENCOUNTERS	42000	50647	+	8647	21	42000	55000	+	13000	31
3.	NUMBER OF NURSING ENCOUNTERS	163000	175253	+	12253	8	163000	175000	+	12000	7
4.	NUMBER OF DENTAL ENCOUNTERS	12200	11407	-	793	7 ,	12200	10951	-	1249	10
5.	NUMBER OF CHRONIC CARE ENCOUNTERS	3700	3104	-	596	16	3700	2856	-	844	23
6.	NO. OFFENDERS SEEN FOR NUTRITIONAL SCREENINGS/EVAL	1895	0	-	1895	100	1895	0	-	1895	100
7.	NUMBER OF OFFENDERS ADMITTED TO INFIRMARIES	1450	1599	+	149	10	1450	1600	+	150	10
8.	NUMBER OF HOSPITAL ADMISSIONS	230	173	-	57	25	230	185	-	45	20
9.	NUMBER OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING COMPLEX CARE	4900	4730	-	170	3	4900	4900	+	0	[0]
10	# OFFENDERS RECVNG TRSFR SCREENING/DISCHRGE SUMMRS	13250	14922	+	1672	13	13250	14500	+	1250	9

09 01 01 12 PSD 421

PROGRAM TITLE: HEALTH CARE

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to delays in recruitment.

FY 2010:

The position variance is due to delays in recruitment.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 4. The variance is due to an underestimation of the planned percentage of offenders receiving chronic care services.
- 5. The variance is due to an underestimation of the planned percentage of offenders receiving out-of-facility services.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

- 1. The variance is due to improved triage efficiency and the elimination of unnecessary patient visits.
- 2. The variance is due to an increase in Psychiatry providers, such as Psychiatrists and Social Workers, thus increasing the number of psychiatric encounters.
- 5. The variance is due an improved visit scheduling and efficiency in decreasing redundant encounters.
- 6. The variance is due to the elimination of the Dietitian position.
- 7. The variance is due to an increase in the number of admissions at the correctional facilities.

- 8. The variance in hospital admissions is due to Health Care Division providing more intensive treatment of seriously ill inmates on-site in the correctional infirmaries.
- 10. The variance is due to an increased number of offenders that were transferred to and from the facilities which requires more screening or discharge summaries.

PROGRAM TITLE:

HAWAII CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIES

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO.

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	0-800	9		THREE	MONTHS E	NDE	D 09-30-09)	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 0	6-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>±</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CI	IANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	÷					y	:					-			-
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 7,335	2.00 5,612		0.00 1,723	0 23	2.00 1,319	2.00 1,454	++	0.00 135	0 10	2.00 6,167	2.00 6,032	+	0.00 135	0 2
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 7,335	2.00 5,612	l .	0.00 1,723	0 23	2.00 1,319	2.00 1,454	+	0.00 135	0 10	2.00 6,167	2.00 6,032	+	0.00 135	'0 2
						l FIS	SCAL YEAR	200	8-09			FISCAL YEAR	2009-	10	
					j	PLANNED	ACTUAL.	± (CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CH/	ANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF INMATES EMPLOYED AT \$1. WHEN THE SEMPLOYED AT THE FED TO SEMPLOYED AT THE FE						5	8 10	+	3 0	60 0	6 15	6 10	 + -	0	0 33
3. % INMATES EMPLOYED AT OUT-OF-STATE CONTRACTD FACIL 4. PERCENT OF INMATES EMPLOYED IN SKILLED TRADES						0 5	0 28	+ + +	0 23	0 460	2	0 15	- +	2 10	100
AMOUNT OF REVENUES GENERATED						6386000	6072000	i -	314000	5	6577580	5510000	- 10	67580 j	16

		,		. I					1 - 1		, ,
1. 2. 3. 4.	: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS PERCENT OF INMATES EMPLOYED AT STATE FACILITIES % OF INMATES EMPLOYED AT THE FEDERAL DETENTION CTR % INMATES EMPLOYED AT OUT-OF-STATE CONTRACTD FACIL PERCENT OF INMATES EMPLOYED IN SKILLED TRADES AMOUNT OF REVENUES GENERATED	5 10 0 5 6386000	8 10 0 28 6072000	+ + +	3 0 0 23 314000	60 0 0 460 5	6 15 2 5 6577580	6 10 0 15 5510000	 + - - +	0 5 2 10 1067580	33 100 200 16
	I: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	!		!	!	!			!		
1. /	AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES IN ALL STATE FACILITIES	3700	4313		613	17	3700	3700	+	0 1	0
2.	AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES IN THE FED DETENTION CTR	300	266	-	34	11	: 300	350	+	50	-17
3. /	AVE NO. INMATES IN OUT-OF-STATE CONTRACTED FACIL	2014	2046	+	32	2	2014	2014	+	0	0
PART IV	/: PROGRAM ACTIVITY				. 1		,			·	
1.	NUMBER OF PRODUCTION SITES	14	13] -	1	7	14	13	-	1 1	7
2.	NUMBER OF INMATE APPLICATIONS RECEIVED	500	933	+	433	87	500	750	+	250	50
3.	NUMBER OF INMATES INTERVIEWED	150	252	+	102	68	150	300	+	150	100
4. I	NUMBER OF INMATES EMPLOYED	320	280	-	40	13	320	500	+	180	56
5. I	NUMBER OF POSITIONS IN SKILLED TRADES	50	75	+	25	50	50	45		5	10
6. I	NUMBER OF INMATE WORK HOURS	164000	106500	-	57500	35	164000	160000	-	4000	2
7. ‡	# INMATE WORK HRS LOST DUE TO FACIL LOCKDWNS/OTHER	j 8000	21528	j +	13528	169	8000	22000	+	14000	175

PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIES

09 01 01 13 PSD 422

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

The expenditure variance is due to the program operating within the available revenues it generated in the fiscal year. The budgeted amount is an expenditure ceiling.

FY 2010:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to the hiring of additional Department of Transportation worklines which increased the percentage of inmates employed at State Facilities.
- 4. The variance is due to an increased number of applications received to work in skilled trade positions.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the planned number of inmates in all-State facilities.
- 2. The variance is due to the planned number being based on projected need to house jailed inmates.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 2. The variance is due to an increased number of applications received, and an improved tracking of applications.
- 3. The variance in the number of inmates interviewed is due to an increase number of applications.
- 4. The variance in the number of inmates employed is due to a decrease

number of production sites and economic conditions.

- 5. The variance is due to more inmates being employed in skilled positions and at production sites.
- 6. The variance in the number of inmate work hours is due to loss in contract work, less demand for services, and facility shutdowns.
- 7. The variance is due to an increase in the number of facility lockdown and other stoppages. The larger number of lockdowns and other stoppages translate to an increase in the number of inmate work hours lost.

PROGRAM TITLE:

NON-STATE FACILITIES

PROGRAM-ID:

PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP

PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY

1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES AT OUT-OF-STATE

1. NUMBER OF INMATE GRIEVANCES FILED

2. AVERAGE NUMBER OF MAJOR CONTRACT

2. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES AT THE FEDERAL DETEN

PSD-808

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010114									•	1		
	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED 09-30-0	19	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGI	= %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	-											
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	10.00 66,257	9.00 65,480	- 1.00 - 777	10 1	9.00 4,961	9.00 4,961	+ 0.00 + 0		9.00 53,643	9.00 53,128	+ 0.00 - 515	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	10.00 66,257	9.00 65,480		10 1	9.00 4,961	9.00 4,961	+ 0.00 + 0	1	9.00 53,643	9.00 53,128	+ 0.00 - 515	0
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2008-09		1	FISCAL YEAR	2009-10	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	1 %	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 2. NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY					0	0	•		0	0	+ 0	0
NUMBER OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY					0	0			0	0	+ 0	0
4. NUMBER OF INMATES RECEIVING SANGE					1000	1578	•	•	1000 33	1	+ 0	0
 PERCENT OF INMATES IN CONTRACTED PERCENT OF INMATE GRIEVANCES FIL 					33 100	35 95	•	•	J 100	33 100	+ 0] 0
7. AVERAGE PERCENT OF MAJOR CONTR		WIT			25	13	- 3 - 12	1	25	0	- 25	100

2014

300

500

199

2046 | +

266 | -

242 | -

199 | +

2 İ

11 |

52

0 |

2014

300

500

199

2000 | -

350 | +

500 | +

199 | +

14

50

0

0

1 1

17 j

0

0

32 |

34 |

258

0 |

	_	7	Λ	
-	J	1	7	•

PROGRAM TITLE: NON-STATE FACILITIES

09 01 01 14 PSD 808

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to recruitment difficulties.

FY 2010:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 3. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of inmates receiving sanctions for misconduct in the highest and greatest categories.
- 6. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percentage of major contract provisos with out-of-State facilities requiring corrective action.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

2. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned number of inmates at the Federal Detention Center of Oahu.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

1. The variance is due to a decrease number of inmate grievances filed in contracted out-of-State facilities.

PROGRAM TITLE: ENF

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090102

ENFORCEMENT

RCEMENT

REPORT V61 7/28/10

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE!	MONTHS EI	NDED 09-30-09)	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10	· į
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	: %
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)		,										
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	379.00 20,327	341.00 19,298	- 38.00 - 1,029	10 5	376.00 4,532	355.00 4,540	- 21.00 + 8	: 6 0	376.00 16,960	376.00 14,963	+ 0.00 - 1,997	0 12
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	379.00 20,327	341.00 19,298	- 38.00 - 1,029	10 5	376.00 4,532	355.00 4,540	- 21.00 + 8	6 0	376.00 16,960	376.00 14,963	+ 0.00 - 1,997	0 12
				;	FIS PLANNED	CAL YEAR ACTUAL	2008-09 <u>+</u> CHANGE	%		FISCAL YEAR ESTIMATED		%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF NEW ARRESTS MADE					3240	1950	l - 1290	l I 40	3000	2000 l	- 1000	 33

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PROGRAM TITLE:

NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010202

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS E	NDED 09-30-0	9	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10	! .
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												:
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	19.00 1,662	15.00 1,505	- 4.00 - 157	21 9	19.00 364	16.00 372	- 3.00 + 8	16 2	19.00 1,450	19.00 1,403	+ 0.00 - 47	0 3
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	19.00 1,662	15.00 1,505	- 4.00 - 157	21 9	19.00 364	16.00 372	- 3.00 + 8	16 2	19.00 1,450	19.00 1,403	+ 0.00 - 47	0 3
						CAL YEAR			 	FISCAL YEAR		1 1
DART II MEAGURES OF FFFFOTIVE SEC					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % CERTIFICATES/PEMITS ISSUED WITH 2. % OF CASES THAT RESULTED IN SUCC 3. % OF CASES RELEASED PENDING FUTI 4. % CASES REFERRED/ACCEPTED BY PF 5. % CASES REFERRED/DECLINED BY PR 6. PERCENT OF CASES REFERRED TO FE 7. % CRIMINL CASES RSLTD IN ASSET FO 8. % CASES INVESTIGTD & RESOLVD W/O 9. % OF DRUG EDUCATION OR TRAINING 10. % INQUIRIES MADE ON ELECTRONIC R PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION 2. NUMBER OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE 3. NUMBER OF REGULATED CHEMICAL RI 4. NUMBER OF MEDICAL USE OF MARIJUA 5.	ESSFUL PROSE HER INVESTIGA ROSECUTING AG OSECUTING AG OSECUTING AG DERAL AGENCI RFT/RECVD BY UT CRIMINAL A SESSIONS CON X MONITRNG PI E REGISTRANTS EGISTRANTS	ECUTION ITION GENCIES GENCIES ES DEPT CTION IDUCTED RGM		1	99 50 60 99 1 1 10 55 90 99 1425174 6000 15 4500 350	99 99 60 99 1 0 9 58 58 87 99 1425174 5990 15 5	+ 0	98 0 0 0 1 0 1 100 1	99 50 60 99 1 10 55 90 99 1434790 6000 15 4500 350	99 60 99 1 1 10 90 99 1434790 15 6000	+ 0 + 49 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 1500 + 250	0 98 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 33 71
6. NO. PHYSICNS PARTICPTG IN MED USE PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. # CONTR SUBS/REG CHM/ORAL/MJ RGS 2. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN 3. TOTAL NO. CASES REFERRD/ACCEPTD 4. TOTAL NO. CASES REFERRD/DECLND E 5. TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES REFERRED 6. NO. CASES INVESTGTD FROM HIA/COR 7. NUMBER OF REGULATORY ACTIONS TA 8. NO. OF EDUCATIONAL AND TRAINING S 9. NO. OF FORENSIC DRUG ANALYSIS COI 10. # CNTRLLD SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELE	STRNS/PRMTS F SUCCESSFUL F BY PROSECUTN TO FEDERAL A R FACILITIES/O AKEN ESSIONS CONE NDUCTED BY N	PRCSSD PROSEC G AGENC G AGENC GENCIES THER DUCTED ED LAB			75 10000 50 100 2 5 575 320 80 100 1200000	2 598 705 52 0	 - 1868 + 62 - 17 + 3 - 3 + 23	11	10000 50 100 2 575 320 80 100 1200000	90 2 5 600 700 50 120		19 140 10 0 0 4 119 38 20 67

PROGRAM TITLE: NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

09 01 02 02 PSD 502

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2010:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 2. The variance is due to the number of referrals made to the Federal or County system. The variance does not accurately measure the division's effectiveness in relation to the prosecution of the case but that of the prosecuting agency. NED's cases may result in successful prosecution two years after State and County prosecutors charged the cases.
- 6. The variance is due to the number of cases referred to the Federal agencies. Of the 598 criminal cases investigated by NED, only two cases were referred to a Federal law enforcement agency for investigation.
- 7. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percent of criminal cases that resulted in asset forfeiture and received by the Department.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- 4. The variance is due to a significant increase in the number of registered patients on the islands of Hawaii, Kauai, Maui and Oahu using marijuana.
- 5. The variance is due to an increase in the number of patient caregivers on the islands of Hawaii, Maui and Oahu that provided services.
- 6. The variance is due to an increase in the number of patients on the

islands of Hawaii, Kauai, Maui and Oahu that resulted in physicians treating patients in the medical use of marijuana program.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 1. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of controlled substance registrations, oral code, regulated chemical permits, and medical marijuana certificates for the medical use of marijuana.
- 2. The variance is due to an increase number of successful prosecutions by NED, in addition to, the number of successful prosecutions by the County or Federal offices. During the past fiscal year, the Federal or County prosecuting agency successfully prosecuted 25 cases. The performance standard does not accurately measure NED's effectiveness in relation to the prosecution of the cases, but measures the effectiveness of the prosecuting agency.
- 3. The variance is due to an overestimation of the projected numbers of cases referred and accepted by the prosecuting agencies. Of the 88 cases submitted to the Federal or County prosecuting agency, 83 cases were accepted.
- 4. The variance in the number of cases referred and declined by prosecuting agencies is due to five out of 88 cases being declined by the Federal or County prosecutors.
- 5. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of cases referred to the Federal Agencies.
- 7. The variance in the number of regulatory actions taken is due to an increase in use of NED's electronic prescription monitoring program by physicians, pharmacies, and law enforcement agencies.
- 8. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of drug education or training sessions conducted by NED. Due to fiscal constraints, there were less requests for Drug presentations, especially from the neighbor

PROGRAM TITLE: NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

09 01 02 02 PSD 502

islands. NED conducted 52 drug and clandestine laboratory presentations that were attended by 3,953 individuals and covered by the media.

- 9. The variance is due to the NED forensic drug laboratory not being in operation due to delays in the signing of the NED lease resulting in construction delays. Presently, NED is utilizing Honolulu Police Department's laboratory services.
- 10. The variance is due to a significant increase in the number of controlled substance prescriptions processed by NED investigators who are assigned to the Electronic Prescription Monitoring Program. Also, an increase in pharmacy operations by Walgreens, Target, and CVS pharmacies contributed to the variance increase.

REPORT V61 7/28/10

PROGRAM TITLE:

SHERIFF PSD-503

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010203

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED 09-30-0	9	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	·					. \$						
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	360.00 18,665	326.00 17,793	- 34.00 - 872	9 5	357.00 4,168	339.00 4,168	- 18.00 + 0	5	357.00 15,510	357.00 13,560	+ 0.00 - 1,950	0 13
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	360.00 18,665	326.00 17,793	L	9 5	357.00 4,168	339.00 4,168	- 18.00 + 0	5 0	357.00 15,510	357.00 13,560	+ 0.00 - 1,950	0 13
						CAL YEAR	2008-09			FISCAL YEAR	2009-10	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AVERAGE RESPONSE TIME FOR ALL IN 2. PERCENT OF GRAND JURY AND HPA W 3. PERCENT OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS SER 4. PERCENT OF THREATS INVESTIGATED	'ARRANTS SER'	VED			2 80 25 100	6 100 25 100	j + 0	25	2 80 25 100	- 1	+ 0 + 0 + 0 + 0	 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION 2. NUMBER OF STATE DEPARTMENTS 3. NUMBER OF STATE COURTHOUSES 4. # PERSONS IN CUSTODY REQ DETENT!	N/TRANSPRT/PI	ROCESS	i .		 1425174 21 15 28400	1425174 21 15 14390	+ 0	 0 0 0	 1434790 21 15 28400	21	+ 0 + 0 + 0 - 13400	 0 0 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF SERVICE TYPE CASES 2. NUMBER OF CRIMINAL CASES RECEIVE 3. NUMBER OF ARREST INCIDENTS 4. NO. THREATS AGNST GOVT OFFICLS/S 5. NUMBER OF GRAND JURY AND HPA WA 6. NO. PERSONS DETAINED IN DISTRICT 8		1500 1700 3240 4 1250 36000	2338 4444 1950 7 1273 22847	+ 2744 - 1290 + 3 + 23	 56 161 40 75 2	1500 1700 3000 4 1250 36000	4000 2000 5	- 1000 + 1 + 0	 33 135 33 25 0			
7. NUMBER OF CUSTODY TRANSPORTS 8. NUMBER OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS RECE 9. NUMBER OF TRAFFIC CITATIONS ISSUE	EIVED				1200 20000 7200	7207 9245 4471	+ 6007 - 10755	501 54 1 38	1200 20000 7200	5000		317 55 38

PROGRAM TITLE: SHERIFF

09 01 02 03 PSD 503

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

FY 2010:

The variance is due to the reduction of security officer positions and furlough reduction.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to an underestimation of the response time (in minutes) for all incidents.
- 2. The variance is due to an increase in the number of warrants issued by the courts and Hawaii Paroling Authority (HPA) for offenders who are a greater risk to public safety.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

4. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of persons in custody requiring detention, transport, or processing.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 1. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of service type cases.
- 2. The variance is due to an underestimation on the number of criminal cases received.
- 3. The variance is due to an overestimation of the Sheriff Division in responding to an incident and making the arrest.
- 4. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of threats against government officials and state government employees reported.

Sheriff Division responded to and reported all seven cases in a timely manner.

- 6. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of persons detained in district or circuit courts, and a decrease in the number of defendants held on bail pending court cases.
- 7. The variance is due to an underestimation of the planned number. The custody transports are based on court orders for person(s) held in state correctional facilities, state hospitals, juvenile detention centers, or other court ordered facilities to be transported to court hearings.
- 8. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned number. The recent decriminalization of various traffic offenses has reduced the number of traffic cases that resulted in a criminal warrant.
- 9. The variance is due to a change in the methodology of measurements in the number of traffic citations issued for traffic crimes, traffic infractions, parking violations, and miscellaneous crimes.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 7/28/10

PROGRAM TITLE:

PAROLE SUPERVISION AND COUNSELING

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090103

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09			THREE N	MONTHS EN	IDED:	09-30-09		NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-10	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHAN	IGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	58.00 3,772	50.00 2,804		3.00 968	14 26	58.00 677	52.00 677	- +	6.00	10 0	58.00 3,115	58.00 2,962	+ 0.00 - 153	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	58.00 3,772	50.00 2,804		.00	14 26	58.00 677	52.00 677	- +	6.00	10 0	58.00 3,115	58.00 2,962	+ 0.00 - 153	0 5
	-					FISC	CAL YEAR 2	2008-0	9		! <u> </u>	ISCAL YEAR	2009-10	
DART III MEASURES OF FEECTIVENESS					1	PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH.	ANGE	%		ESTIMATED		%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU 2. PERCENT OF INMATES GRANTED EARL 3. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DIS 4. UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AMONG PAROL	Y PAROLE RELI SCHARGE (YRS	EASE			 	375 5 5	259 2 5 10	- - +	116 3 0 4	31 60 0 67	375 5 5	259 5 5	- 116 + 0 + 0 + 4	31 0 0 67

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-611 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010301 **REPORT V61** 7/28/10

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-0	9		Ti	HREE N	IONTHS EN	IDED	09-30-09	17	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDG	ETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)								,	!							
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3.00 238	3.00 216	+	0.00 22	0		3.00 52	3.00 52	+	0.00	, 0 , 0	3.00 186	3.00 186	+	0.00	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3.00 238	3.00 216	+	0.00 22	0 9		3.00 52	3.00 52	+	0.00 0	0	3.00 186	3.00 186	+	0.00	0
							FISC	CAL YEAR	2008-0)9			FISCAL YEAR	2009	-10	
	÷					PLANN	ED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	- %	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u> +</u> 다	IANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF INMATES GRANTED EARL 2. AV LENGTH OF TIME BEFORE NEXT PAI 3. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DIS	ROLE RVIEW (M SCHARGE (YRS	INTHS))				. -	5 11 5	2 11 5	- + +	3 0	60 0 0	 5 11 5	5	 + + +	0 0 0	 :0 0 0
 % INMATES GRANTED PAROLE AT EXP NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU 							50 375	35 259	-	. 15 116	30 31	50 375	50 375	+ +	0	0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AV NO. OF SENTENCED FELONS IN STA 2. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER HAWAI							3868 2200	3517 1794	-	351 406	9 18	 3977 2200	3439 1794	 - <i>-</i>	538 406	 14 18
ART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF MINIMUM SENTENCES FIXED 2. # PERSONS CONSIDERED FOR PAROLE AT MIN EXPRTN DATE 3. NUMBER OF PAROLES GRANTED 4. NUMBER OF PAROLES DENIED 5. NUMBER OF PAROLES REVOKED 6. NO. OF APPL FOR REDUCTN OF MIN SENTENCE CONSIDERED 7. NUMBER OF PAROLES REVIEWED FOR DISCHARGE 8. NUMBER OF PAROLEES REVIEWED FOR DISCHARGE 9. NUMBER OF INFORMAL INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED						2748 1588 648 1236 284 128 48 192	58 148	+ '	56 161 88 129 25 10 44	2 10 14 10 9 8 21 23 25	2748 1588 648 1236 284 128 48 192 20	736 1365 259 118 58	 + . + . 	56 161 88 129 25 10 44	2 10 14 10 9 8 21 23	

PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS

09 01 03 01 PSD 611

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

FY 2010:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to a decrease in the number of inmates who are granted early parole releases. The Parole Board has enhanced parole criteria for inmates seeking parole.
- 4. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percent of inmates who are granted parole at the expiration of minimum sentence. The Parole Board thoroughly reviews the completion of recommended programs, positive institutional adjustment, participation in sequential phasing, and appropriate parole plans when parole decisions are made.
- 5. The variance is due to an increase in the number of supervision and community support parolees are receiving, which results in the reduced number of parole violators returning to prison.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

2. The variance is due to a decrease in supervision and community support and because the Parole Board amended the terms and conditions of parole.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

2. The variance is due to an underestimation of inmates who are considered for parole at the minimum expiration date. The Parole Board thoroughly reviews the completion of recommended programs, positive institutional adjustment, participation in sequential phasing, and appropriate parole plans when parole decisions are made.

- 3. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of paroles granted.
- 4. The variance in the number of paroles being denied is due to a larger number of inmates cases being scrutinized by the Parole Board and not completing all of the recommended programs prior to their initial parole.
- 7. The variance is due to an increase in the number of applications for pardons filed by inmates.
- 8. The variance in the number of parole discharges reviewed is due to the number of parolees who are repeat offenders (committed multiple offenses) have violent and/or long criminal histories. These parolees need more supervision and counseling before any parole discharge review is conducted.
- 9. The variance is due to the Parole Board's belief that informal interviews should be granted as an exception rather than a rule.

PROGRAM TITLE:

ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010302

PSD-612

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-0	9	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)								-		÷		
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 3,534	47.00 2,588	- 8.00 - 946	15 27	55.00 625	49.00 625	- 6.00 + 0	11 0	55.00 2,929	55.00 2,776	+ 0.00 - 153	0 5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 3,534	47.00 2,588	- 8.00 - 946	15 27	55.00 625	49.00 625	- 6.00 + 0	11 0	55.00 2,929	55.00 2,776	+ 0.00 - 153	0 5
						CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
	% RECOM RELATG TO PAROLE RELEASE ACCEPTED BY BOARD							1 10	l I 77	85 I	+ 8	10
2. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU	BER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETURNED TO PRISON							31	375		- 116	31
AMOUNT OF RESTITUTION COLLECTED					45000		- 2392	5	45000		- 2392	5
4. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DIS 5. UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AMONG PAROL		RS)			5	- 1	+ 0		5	1	+ 0	0
	EE9				6	10	+ 4	67	6	10	+ .4	67
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	HED HIDIODIO	FIGNIC				40	l			10		
 NO. OF PAROLEES IN HAWAII FROM OT NO. PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISD 				,	50 140	48 144	- 2 + 4	•	50 140		- 2 + 4	4 3
NO. PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISD					1599	1794		12	1599		+ 195	12
4. AV NO. OF SENTENCED INMATES IN ST					3792		- 275	7	3792	3439	- 353	9
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY							1	1		1	!	
1. NUMBER OF PREPAROLE INVESTIGATION	ONS CONDUCT	ED ·			1700	2204	+ 504	30	1700	2204	+ 504	30
2. NUMBER OF ARREST WARRANTS ISSUI					314	317		j 1	314	• ,	+ 3	1
3. NUMBER OF PAROLE DISCHARGES REC					195	148		24	195		- 41	21
4. NUMBER OF PARDON INVESTIGATIONS					60	1	- 2	3	60	1	- 2	· 3.
5. NUMBER OF INTERSTATE COMPACT AC 6. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER SPECIA		(ISION			120 200		- 72 - 4	60 2	120 200	,	- 72 - 4	60 2
7. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER INTENS					l 50	32	- 4 - 18	1 36	50		- 18	36
8. NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING					0	1	+ 540	0	. 0		+ 540	0

PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

09 01 03 02 PSD 612

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. The expenditure variance is due to delays in contract execution.

FY 2010:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to an underestimation in the percent of recommendations relating to parole release accepted by the Board.
- 2. The variance is due to appropriate parole release decision-making by the Parole Board and an enhanced supervision strategy by the Parole Officers, which lead to a decrease in the amount of parole violators returning to prison.
- 5. The variance is due to the weakness of the State's economy, which in the past, the State would employ a larger number of parolees in both, skilled and unskilled positions.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

3. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of parolees under Hawaii jurisdiction within the State.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 1. The number of pre-parole investigations conducted exceeded the planned number due to requests that additional investigations be completed regarding housing for the inmates upon their release.
- 3. The variance in the number of parole discharges recommended

directly correlates to the Parole Board's policy of requiring repeat and/or violent offenders to remain under parole supervision for longer periods of time. This resulted in the Parole Officers submitting less early discharge recommendations to the Parole Board.

- 5. The variance is due to an overestimation in the number of interstate compact agreements.
- 7. The variance in the number of persons under intensive parole supervision is due to a work force shortage in the intensive supervision staff.
- 8. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of administrative hearings conducted.

PROGRAM TITLE:

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090104

DOLLAR VALUE OF CLAIMS RECEIVED
 NUMBER OF HEARINGS HELD

6. NUMBER OF CLAIMS DENIED

NUMBER OF COMPENSATION AWARDS MADE

NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE MEETINGS HELD

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090104 FISCAL YEAR 2008-09 THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-09 **NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-10 BUDGETED ACTUAL** + CHANGE % BUDGETED ACTUAL + CHANGE % BUDGETED ESTIMATED + CHANGE % **PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS** POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's) **OPERATING COSTS** 8.00 1.00 8.00 0.00 **POSITIONS** 8.00 8.00 0.00 0 7.00 13 8.00 0 949 35 51 304 13 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 1,745 600 296 304 2.267 2,571 2,694 **TOTAL COSTS** 7.00 13 8.00 8.00 0.00 0 **POSITIONS** 8.00 8.00 0.00 0 8.00 1.00 **EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)** 2,694 1,745 949 35 600 296 304 51 2,267 2,571 304 13 FISCAL YEAR 2009-10 FISCAL YEAR 2008-09 % | PLANNED | ESTIMATED | + CHANGE PLANNED ACTUAL | + CHANGE | % PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AV TIME FM DATE APP RCV TO DATE DECISN MAILD (WKS) 16 16 | + 0 1 0 16 16 0 0 2. AV TIME FROM AWARD TO DATE PURCH ORDR PREPRD (WKS) 0 4 1 + 0 4 4 | + 0 4 0 79 | + 5 75 75 0 3. PERCENT OF CLAIMANTS WHO RECEIVED COMPENSATION 75 4 1 | + 0 4. AVERAGE COMPENSATION AWARD MADE 1500 1050 | -450 30 1500 1500 + 0 0 PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP # PERSONS STATEWIDE WHO MAY BE ELIG FOR COMPENSATN 1332870 1332870 | + 0 | 0 1 1346600 1346600 0 0 PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF CLAIMS RECEIVED 800 889 89 11 | 800 900 100 13

1000000

1400

200

1018020 | +

6 | +

1560 | +

5 | -

202 | +

18020

0

2

160

2 |

0 |

11

17

1 |

1000000

1400

200

6

1000000

6 | +

6 | +

1500

200

+

1 +

0

0

0

0

100

.0

.0

·7

0

PROGRAM TITLE: CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

09 01 04 PSD 613

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

The expenditure variance is due to less than anticipated expenditure for the victim of crime act federal grant.

FY 2010:

The position variance is due to employee turnover.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

4. The variance in the average compensation award made decreased due to the Medical Expense Reduction Project negotiating lower medical payments made on behalf of victims.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 1. The variance in the number of claims received is due to an increase in the number of applications filed during the economic downturn.
- 4. The variance in the number of compensation awards made is due to the number of applications filed and the Commission's efforts to ensure applications are completely and accurately filled out.
- 5. The variance is due to administrative hearings being held in conjunction with appeal hearings and due to scheduling difficulties.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 7/28/10

PROGRAM TITLE:

GENERAL SUPPORT - CRIMINAL ACTION

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090105

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	2008-09			THREE A	MONTHS EN	NDED 09	-30-09		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-10		
DADT I. EVDENDITUDES & DOCUTIONS	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE		%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)							:								
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	210.10 18,553	174.10 13,202		36.00 5,351	17 29	210.10 3,514	184.10 3,470	- 2	26.00	12 1	210.10 13,462	191.10 12,163	- 19.00 - 1,299		9
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	210.10 18,553	174.10 13,202		36.00 5,351	17 29	210.10 3,514	184.10 3,470	- , 2	26.00 44	12 1	210.10 13,462	191.10 12,163	- 19.00 - 1,299		9 10
								2008-09				ISCAL YEAR	2009-10		
DART II. MEAGUREO OF FEFEOTIVENEGO						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHA	NGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	9	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENTAGE OF VACANCIES FILLED 2. AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMENT TRAN						50 25	40 24	-	10 1	20 4	50 25	04	+ 0 - 1		0
% DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING TS	SD TRAING SES	SIONS				80	100	+	20	25	80	70	- 1.0		13

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PROGRAM TITLE:

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010501

PSD-900

10. NUMBER OF ACTIVE CIP PROJECTS AS OF JUNE 30

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09			THREE	MONTHS E	NDE	09-30-09		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-10	
	BUDGETED			NGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	_	CHANGE	%	ļ	ESTIMATED		HANGE	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	BODOLIEB	HOTOLE	2 0112	ANOL	70	BODGLILD	ACTORE	<u> </u>	OHANOL	70	BODGETED	LOTIMATE		TATOL	70
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	149.10 12,267	124.10 8,759		25.00 3,508	17 29	149.10 2,065	133.10 2,230	- +	16.00 165	11 8	149.10 8,746	149.10 7,755	+	0.00 991	0 11
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	149.10 12,267	124.10 8,759		25.00 3,508	17 29	149.10 2,065	133.10 2,230	- +	16.00 165	11 8	149.10 8,746	149.10 7,755	+	0.00 991	0 11
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> ± C</u>	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF VACANCIES FILLED 2. PERCENT OF DELEGATED POSITION AG 3. AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMENT TRAI 4. % DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING TS 5. % INTERNL INVSTGTNS CLOSED BY INS 6. % INTERNL INVSTGTNS COMPLETED BS 7. % ADA COMPLNTS INVSTGTD/CLOSED 8. % HARASSMNT/DISCRMNTN COMPLNTS 9. % ACTIVE CIP PROJCTS ON/AHEAD OF PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NUMBER OF DEPARTMENTAL EMPLOYI 2. NUMBER OF CORRECTIONAL FACILITIE 3. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION 4. AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION		2489 8 1425174 6455	85 97 30 2384 8 1425174	- + + - +	10 5 30 5 5 12 5 105 0 0 96 96	20 6 4 43 0 5 6 14 20	50 90 25 70 50 95 80 85 25 2489 8 1434790 6455	90 24 70 50 90 80 85 25	+ + + + + + + +	0 0 1 0 0 5 0 0 0	0 0 4 0 0 5 0 0 0				
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF NEW REQUESTS TO FILL V 2. NUMBER OF DELEGATED POSITION AC 3. NUMBER OF FISCAL TRANSACTIONS PF 4. #TIME SHEETS PROC FOR OT & EMERC 5. NUMBER OF TRAINING SESSIONS CONI 6. #INTERNAL INVSTGTNS RCVD BY INSP 7. NO. INTERNAL INVSTGTNS INITIATD BY 8. NUMBER OF ADA COMPLAINTS FILED 9. NO. OF HARASSMENT/DISCRIMINATION	 	260 660 284 4200 860 600 114 6		- - -	31 154 25 200 25 5 7 0	12 23 9 5 1 3 1 1 6 0 1	260 660 284 4200 860 600 114 6	250 4000 800 600 107 6	- - - + - +	10 160 34 200 60 0 7 0	4 24 12 5 7 0 6 0				

10 | +

2

25 |

8 | +

0

0 |

PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

09 01 05 01 PSD 900

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties. The expenditure variance is due to less expenditure incurred by the non-general fund programs under general administration.

FY 2010:

The position variance is due to recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to budgetary constraints that led to a reduced percentage of vacant positions being filled.
- 4. The variance in the number of completed Training and Staff Development (TSD) training sessions is due to an increased number of inservice classes conducted at the facilities.
- 8. The variance is due to the number of Social Workers assigned to investigate and close harassment and/or discrimination complaints brought to the attention of the Civil Rights Compliant Office.
- 9. The variance is due to staff members tracking Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) status more closely and by consistently contacting DAGS/consultants, which increased the percent of CIP on or ahead of schedule.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variances.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

1. The variance is due to budget constraints that decreased the number of new requests to fill vacancies from the programs.

- 2. The variance is due to budgetary constraints that had an impact on the number of position actions received.
- 10. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of active Capital Improvement Projects (CIP).

STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATION

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

ATG-231

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010502

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS E	NDED 09-3	0-09	NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHAN	€ ,%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. + CHAI	IGE %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)						() () () () () () () () () ()						
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	61.00 6,286	50.00 4,443	- 11. - 1,8		1	51.00 1,240		00 16 09 14	61.00 4,716	42.00 4,408	- 19.00 - 308	31 7
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	61.00 6,286	50.00 4,443	- 11. - 1,8			51.00 1,240	1	00 16 09 14	61.00 4,716	42.00 4,408	- 19.00 - 308	31 7
						SCAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		<u> </u>
DADT II. MEACHDEC OF FEETOTS INC.					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHAN	SE %	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. %CIV ID APPCT SVD MONTH MAIN OFF 2. AV# DAYS REQUIRD TO COMPLETE EXF					 75 90	76 114	•	1 1 24 27	 75 90	1	+ 0 + 10	 0 11
 AV # DAYS TO ENTER DISPOSITION DA' COMPLETE DISPOSITIONS ON CJIS-F OF ELIGIBLE SEX OFFENDERS THAT 	IAWAII	NT			31 93 35	93	- + +	9 29 0 0 5 14	93 35	93	- 11 + 0 + 7	35 0 20
6. % REG SEX OFFENDERS WHO COMPLY 7. AV# DAYS TO COMPLETE CRIM HIS REC	35 75 5		i -	2 3 100	75 5	67 10	- 8	20 11 100				
AV# DAYS FOR CRIM FINGERPRTS TO B MONTHLY LATENT FINGERPRT/PALM		BI			10 35	10 36	+ +	0 0 1 3	•	, 1 40	+ 0 + 5	0 14
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PERSONS WITH CRIMINAL RECORDS					475000	509630	 + 346		475000	515000	+ 40000	 8
PERSONS WITH EXPUNGEABLE RECORDS	RDS				247000	268065	+ 210	•	1 247000		+ 23000	0 9
PERSONS WITH STATE ID CARDS					570000	326149	•		570000	354911		38
4. NO. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES SVD	(CNTY/STATE/F	FED)			95	94		1 1	•	(+ 5	5
 CJIS-HAWAII USERS PERSONS WITH ELIGIBLE SEX OFFEND 	ER CHARGES				3600 6500	4210 6400		10 17 00 2	3600 6500		+ 900	25 2
7. NUMBER OF AGENCIES USING AFIS					6	6		0 0	6		+ 0	
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY					1 .							
 # REG SEX OFFENDRS REQURING QTR 					2400	2100		00 13	2400	2100	- 300	13
2. #PUB ACC/WEB TRANSACTNS CONDUC 3. # OF INQUIRY TRANSACTIONS CONDUC					5500000		- 16254		5500000		- 1500000	27
3. # OF INQUIRY TRANSACTIONS CONDUC 4. # OF EXPUNGEMENT REQUESTS PROC					1200000		+ 422 + 1	54 4 91 16	1200000		+ 100000 + 100	8 8
5. # OF STATE ID CARDS ISSUED ANNUAL					80000			37 6	80000	,	+ 0	0
#NAME-BASED APPLICANT RECORD CH					4500			98 67	4500		+ 3000	67
7. #FINGERPRT-BASED APPLICANT RECO		ESSED			25000		•	49 2	25000		+ 1000	4
8. # CRIMINAL FINGERPRINTS PROCESSE 9. #LATENT FINGER/PALM PRINT SEARCH		LAFIS			35000 10000		•	45 16 48 2	35000 10000		+ 10000 + 500	29 5
10. #CJIS-HAWAII RECS INDEXED ON INTER					28000		+ 312	•	•		+ 62000	188

PROGRAM TITLE: STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATION

09 01 05 02 ATG 231

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 09: Several positions were new and in the process of being established while others were in recruitment. \$70,911 was restricted from our general fund budget in FY 09. The remaining difference, \$1,772,089, between budgeted and actual expenditures occurred because significantly less than anticipated was spent out of one non-general fund account - S210-National Criminal History Record Improvement Program. No actual funds lapsed because federal grant funds are drawn down only as expenditures are incurred for this multi-year federal grant.

FY 10: In July 2009, five vacant positions were abolished, and in December 2009, another five positions were eliminated as part of the RIF process. In addition, as staff has resigned or retired, a hiring freeze has prohibited us from filling the vacated positions. \$307,678 has been restricted from our general fund and revolving fund budgets. The remaining difference in what has been budgeted to our actual/estimated expenditures is attributed to spending less than anticipated in one nongeneral fund account as noted above.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- Item 2: The increase in the number of days to complete the expungement process is due to the increase in the number of expungements processed and in the number of charges that need disposition research.
- Item 3: The decrease in posting disposition time is due to programming changes that were made to the electronic interfaces, which allow more data to update CJIS-Hawaii without errors.
- Item 5: The increase in eligible sex offenders who registered is due to the continued focus on the enforcement of the sex offender registration statute and the reduction of persons with eligible sex offenses.
- Item 7: The increase in the number of days to complete criminal history record checks is due to the increased number of criminal history record check requests.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 3: This represents the number of people with unexpired State ID cards. Previously, we reported the number of records that existed in our system regardless of their card's expiration date.

Item 5: Maui County Dept of Parks and Recreation and Maui County Dept of Motor Vehicle and Licensing are now accessing CJIS-Hawaii. In addition, the DOE's Volunteers and Partners Pilot Project now has additional schools participating.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- Item 1: The decrease is due to the number of sex offenders who are incarcerated or have been confirmed to be deceased, deported, or registered in another jurisdiction.
- Item 2: The decrease in the number of public access and web transactions conducted on CJIS-Hawaii is due to the revised method of tracking; previous numbers included hits on the sex offender website.
- Item 4: The increase in the number of expungement requests processed and denied is due to the increase in the number of persons eligible for expungement.
- Item 6: The increase in the number of name-based applicant record checks is a reflection of the public's continuing need to obtain criminal history record checks for employment and other reasons.
- Item 8: The increase in the number of criminal fingerprints processed is due to the increase in the number of people being arrested.
- Item 10: The staff has been diligent to assure that the records of repeat offenders meet the Interstate Identification Index criteria for accuracy. In addition, as a part of the project to participate in the National Fingerprint File, staff has worked with the FBI to automate some of the processing needed to assure accuracy of these records.

SAFETY FROM PHYSICAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0902

REPORT V61 7/28/10

	Fisc	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-09)	NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHAN	€ %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)										ı	;	·
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	220.50 100,564	174.50 71,157	- 46. - 29,4		216.50 25,582	174.50 25,364	- 42.00 - 218	19 1	216.50 74,398	179.50 61,753	- 37.00 - 12,645	17 17
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	220.50 100,564	174.50 71,157	- 46. - 29,4		216.50 25,582	174.50 25,364	- 42.00 - 218	19 1	216.50 74,398	179.50 61,753	- 37.00 - 12,645	17 17
			-		FIS	CAL YEAR	2008-09		FISCAL YEAR 2009-1			. 100
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. DEATHS/INJ/PROP DAM DUE TO FLOOD	S (INCIDENTS)				4	4	 + 0	0	4	2	- 2	50

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

REPORT V61 7/28/10

PROGRAM TITLE:

PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090201

LNR-810

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	008-09		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED	09-30-09)	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	6 06-30-10	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>+</u> (CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)														
PERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	9.00 920	8.00 670	- 1.00 - 250		9.00 522	9.00 304	+	0.00 218	0 42	9.00 1,566	9.00 1,647	++	0.00 81	5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	9.00 920	8.00 670	- 1.00 - 250	11 27	9.00 522	9.00 304	+	0.00 218	0 42	9.00 1,566	9.00 1,647	+	0.00 81	0
····					FIS	CAL YEAR	2008	-09	,		FISCAL YEAR	200	9-10	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%		ESTIMATED			%
ART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISAB	BILTIES/PROP D	AM			4	4	 +	0	0	4	2	-	2	50
RT III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. DEFACTO POPULATION (MILLIONS)					1.4	1.4	 +	0	0	1.4	1.4	+	0	
ART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY			•				1				1			
 NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTION 					4	4	+	0	0 i	4	4	+	0	0
								_ :	_ :		_ :		. 1	400
NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC					1	1	+	0	0	1	0	-	T .	100
3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN	ITS ENTERED I	OTV			1	1 1	+	0.	0	1	0 1	+	0	
 NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON 	ITS ENTERED II ISRV LAWS RE\	NTO /IEWED			1 1 2	1 1 2	ı	0	0 0	1 1 2	0 1 2	- + +	0	0
 NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES/ 	ITS ENTERED II ISRV LAWS RE\	NTO /IEWED			1 1 2 4	0	 + +	0 0 4	0 0 100	1 1 2 4	0	++	0	0 0 100
 NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES/ FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS) 	ITS ENTERED II ISRV LAWS REV INVESTGTNS P	NTO /IEWED ERFRMD			1 1 2 4 100	0 100	 + - +	0 0 4 0	0 0 100 0	1 1 2 4 100	0 50	- + + -	0 4 50	0 0 100 50
 NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES/ FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS) NO. OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REND 	ITS ENTERED II ISRV LAWS RE\ INVESTGTNS P ERED (MAN-HO	NTO /IEWED ERFRMD			1 1 2 4 100 500	0 100 500	+ + - +	0 0 4 0 0	0 0 100 0	1 1 2 4 100 500	0 50 500	- + - - +	0 4 50 0	100 0 100 50 0
 NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEN NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES/ FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS) 	ITS ENTERED II ISRV LAWS REV INVESTGTNS P ERED (MAN-HO PARED	NTO /IEWED ERFRMD URS)				0 100	 + - +	0 0 4 0	0 0 100 0		0 50	- + - - + - +	0 4 50	0 0 100 50

PROGRAM TITLE: PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS

09 02 01 LNR 810

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The position variance is due to inability to fill vacancies.

The expenditure variance is primarily due to vacancy savings and having an expenditure ceiling greater than special funds available.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variance.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2: Variance in FY 10 reflects authorized flood control projects and their implementation; there has been a downturn in funding for such projects.

Item 5: Variance due to staff limitations and focus on other priority tasks.

Item 6: Storm frequency and intensity in FY 10 is less than anticipated, resulting in a decrease in estimated man-hours required for flood mitigation.

Item 8: Variance due to staff limitations and focus on other priority tasks.

PROGRAM TITLE:

AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090202

DEF-110

211.50		± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE		%
	100 50											
	100 50			,								
33,044	70,487	- 45.00 - 29,157	21 29	207.50 25,060	165.50 25,060	- 42.00 + 0	20 0	207.50 72,832	170.50 60,106	- 37.00 - 12,726		18 17
211.50 99,644	166.50 70,487	- 45.00 - 29,157	21 29	207.50 25,060	165.50 25,060	- 42.00 + 0	20 0	207.50 72,832	170.50 60,106	- 37.00 - 12,726		18 17
				PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	9	%
SYSTEMS RE INESS SS ESS IESS IESS SS			<u>.</u>	75 75 75 85 64 89 98 90 95	74 75 86 67 90 96 88 93	- 1	3 1 0 1 5 1 2 2 2	75 75 75 85 64 89 98 90	75 75 85 65 90 95 88 95	+ 0 + 0 + 0 + 1 + 1 - 3 - 2 + 0		0 0 0 0 2 1 3 2
,	NDS)			1245 185			1 3	1245 185		_	 	0 3
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF FUNCTIONAL MILITARY UNITS 2. AMOUNT OF DIRECT FED FUND SPPT FOR MIL DEF (000'S) 3. NUMBER OF ARMORIES & SUPPORT FACILITIES MAINTAINED 4. INVENTORY COST OF NAT GUARD EQUIP MAINTAIND(000'S) 5. COST OF MILITARY SPPT TO CIVIL AUTHORITIES (000'S) 6. ASSIGNED MILITARY STRENGTH (NUMBER) 7. NUMBER OF CIVIL DEFENSE PLANS UPDATED 8. NUMBER OF PERSONS COMPLETING FORMAL CD TRAINING 9. NO. OF EMERGENCY SHELTER SPACES MAINTAINED (000'S)							2 41 3 2 0 4 7 7	57 184000 91 4360000 327 5450 NO DATA 30	85 4500000 327 5250 NO DATA 30	- 6 + 140000 + 0 - 2000 + 0 + 0		2 3 7 3 0 4 0 0 3
A. LEHUSS TATE STARY	99,644 211.50 99,644 ADINESS AINING READI SYSTEMS RE NESS SS ESS ESS THOUSANDS) TE (THOUSAN S R MIL DEF (000 LITIES MAINT MAINTAIND(0 SR) TEITED MAL CD TRAI	99,644 70,487 211.50 166.50 99,644 70,487 ADINESS ANNING READINESS SYSTEMS READINESS SS ESS ESS ESS ESS ESS EMILITES MAINTAINED MAINTAIND(000'S) MAINTAIND(000'S) MILITES (000'S) EMAL CD TRAINING MAINTAINED (000'S)	99,644 70,487 - 29,157 211.50 166.50 - 45.00 99,644 70,487 - 29,157 ADINESS ADINESS ANNING READINESS SYSTEMS READINESS NESS SS ESS ESS ESS ESS ESS ESS ESS	99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 211.50 166.50 - 45.00 21 99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 ADINESS WINING READINESS SYSTEMS READINESS NESS SS ESS ESS ESS ESS ESS ESS ESS	99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 211.50 166.50 - 45.00 21 207.50 99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 FIS PLANNED ADINESS 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 211.50 166.50 - 45.00 21 207.50 165.50 99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 ADINESS PLANNED ACTUAL I PLANNED ACTUA	99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 + 0 211.50 166.50 - 45.00 21 207.50 165.50 - 42.00 99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 + 0	99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 211.50 166.50	99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832 211.50 166.50 - 45.00 21 207.50 165.50 - 42.00 20 207.50 99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832	99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832 60,106 211.50 166.50 - 45.00 21 207.50 165.50 - 42.00 20 207.50 170.50 99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832 60,106	99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 211.50 166.50 - 45.00 21 207.50 165.50 - 42.00 20 207.50 170.50 - 37.00 99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726	99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 211.50 166.50 - 45.00 21 207.50 165.50 - 42.00 20 207.50 170.50 - 37.00 99,644 70,487 - 29,157 29 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,060 25,060 25,060 + 0 0 0 72,832 60,106 - 12,726 25,060 25,0

PROGRAM TITLE: AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERS

09 02 02 DEF 110

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Positions: In FY 2009, there are 45 vacancies, of which all were pending the request to fill. The vacancies are planned to assist the Department in meeting the mandatory 13.85% lapsing in an effort to reduce the State's projected deficit.

Expenditures: In FY 2009, the decrease in expenditure is a result of subcontractors not being able to execute procurement agreements for Homeland Security Federal funds in accordance with Chapter 103D, Hawaii Revised Statutes. Without these agreements in place, county and state agencies required more time to complete their purchase requirements. The federal grant period to expend the funds have been extended in order for the agencies to secure the proper procurement approvals. Additionally, planned vacancies and furlough savings to effect general fund restrictions also lowered the expenditure rate.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variances.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 2. The reduction of direct federal fund support for military defense is a result of the number of troops and resources that have been used in the War on Terrorism.

Item 4. Inventory cost increased due to the anticipated replacement of an aircraft for the Hawaii Air National Guard.